News

From:

Edward M. Ross, Esq. Rosenberg Calica & Birney LLP Garden City, New York 11530 (516) 747-7400 ext. 308 (tel.) eross@rcblaw.com

for immediate release

Village of Flower Hill denies ExteNet application to build 18 small-cell wireless facilities based on company's failure to address serious inadequacies

"Long Island communities deserve better."

Garden City – Retained by the Village of Flower Hill as special litigation counsel to review an application by ExteNet Systems Inc. to build 18 small-cell wireless facilities throughout the Village, Edward M. Ross of the Garden City law firm Rosenberg Calica & Birney LLP, today stated that the Village Board has denied the company's application following the Board's determination that ExteNet failed to address numerous and serious inadequacies.

Ross noted, "ExteNet's application suffered from numerous inadequacies and was incompatible with the Village Code. The Board's determination and findings should signal an alarm for every other Long Island municipality facing similar applications because Long Island communities deserve better."

Ross stressed that although Congress and the FCC have imposed certain limitations on the authority of state and local governments, local municipalities like Flower Hill continue to retain local control over wireless facility siting decisions with respect to aesthetic considerations, mitigation efforts and reasonable alternatives.

Ross further noted that the Village adopted comprehensive written findings and reasons in support of its denial which he believes ExteNet will be unable to successfully challenge or dispute in court. They include ExteNet's failure to choose among less aesthetically intrusive alternatives which were identified during the review process, a projected decline in affected property values, as well as ExteNet's failure to adequately establish its coverage needs or objectives.

Revealing that ExteNet has been hired by Verizon to build fixed number of L.I. nodes

A significant development revealed during the review process transpired when Trustee and long-standing Village resident Kate Hirsch attended an ExteNet meeting involving the neighboring Village of Plandome. ExteNet's representatives acknowledged the company was hired by Verizon to build a specific or fixed number of nodes in the surrounding areas and that if any node was eliminated somewhere then a new node would simply be required to be installed elsewhere under ExteNet's contractual obligations to Verizon.

At the most recent hearing in Flower Hill, Ross confronted ExteNet and its attorneys with the company's contractual obligations to Verizon, and noted that this was highly significant because the sheer number of nodes is not necessarily determinative of final coverage, which is dependent on other things like specific site locations, variable heights of the small-cell transmitters, and specific lines of sight.

Although ExteNet's attorney declined the opportunity to re-open the record to address its contractual obligations to Verizon, he acknowledged on the record that this was correct.

Ross added "It's shocking that ExteNet is attempting to fulfill its contractual obligations to Verizon to build a fixed number of wireless nodes throughout Long Island without due regard for specific coverage needs or aesthetic considerations. It is well known throughout the industry that numerous mitigation alternatives are available to reduce the actual number of nodes with such devices as minor height increases by as little as 5 feet. In other cases, the use of macro sites or cell towers, or improvements to existing towers, can also reduce the number of small-cell sites required to meet purported coverage needs or objectives."

Ross reminded that, "The entire Long Island community deserves better and every municipality facing an ExteNet application needs to appreciate the company's tactics."

ExteNet seeks an end run

Although Ross stated it is unclear whether ExteNet intends to challenge Flower Hill's decision in court, he noted that ExteNet has already filed a federal lawsuit against the Village of Lake Success after that Village partially granted and partially denied ExteNet's wireless siting application to the Lake Success Village Board earlier this year.

Mr. Ross is currently representing Lake Success and the Village Board in that lawsuit, in which the court recently directed the parties to proceed to mediation later this month.

Ross's message to ExteNet is clear: "ExteNet needs to come clean with the fact that its commercial objectives and contractual obligations are in conflict with the communities which ExteNet is purporting to serve, and needs to do a much better job to design networks which minimize the adverse impacts to those communities as required by law."